Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA WRIT PETITION NO. 479 OF 2022

TONY RODRIGUES

.... Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF GOA THR. THE CHIEF SECRETARY AND 4 ORS

... Respondents

Mr. Rohit Bras de Sa, with Mr. Prataprao Naik, Advocates for the Petitioner.

Mr. D.J. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr. Deep Shirodkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the Respondents No.1, 2 and 4.

Mr. Sahish Mahambrey, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

Mr. Neelesh Takkekar, with Ms. Diniz Ritzela Julio, Advocates for Respondent No.5.

CORAM: M. S. SONAK &

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE: 9th January 2023

P.C.:-

1. Mr. Takkekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5, on instructions, states that respondent No.5, without prejudice to his contentions, will take such measures as are required for restoration of the site where the hill cutting has taken place.

21-WP-479-22.DOC

Accordingly, Respondent No.3 to cause an inspection of the site and prepare a restoration plan. The plan should also indicate the minimum time within which Respondent No.5 would be in a position to undertake the restoration measures under the supervision of Respondent No.3. Further, Mr. Mahambrey states that the issue of FIR and obtaining sanction will also be pursued and expedited. Mr. Mahambrey states that such an application will be filed within 10 days. However, we grant Respondent No.3 two weeks so that there is no necessity of seeking any further extension.

- 2. The inspection should be carried out at the earliest with all seriousness that such an issue deserves.
- 3. S.O. to 30th January 2023.

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.

M.S. SONAK, J.